

1 RANDALL S. LUSKEY (SBN: 240915)
 2 rluskey@paulweiss.com
 3 **PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON**
 4 & GARRISON LLP
 5 535 Mission Street, 24th Floor
 6 San Francisco, CA 94105
 7 Telephone: (628) 432-5100
 8 Facsimile: (628) 232-3101

9 ROBERT ATKINS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
 10 ratkins@paulweiss.com
 11 CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
 12 cgrusauskas@paulweiss.com
 13 ANDREA M. KELLER (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
 14 akeller@paulweiss.com
 15 **PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON**
 16 & GARRISON LLP
 17 1285 Avenue of the Americas
 18 New York, NY 10019
 19 Telephone: (212) 373-3000
 20 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990

21 *Attorneys for Defendants*
 22 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
 23 RASIER, LLC; and RASIER-CA, LLC

24 *[Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]*

25 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 26 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 27 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

28 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
 29 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
 30 LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084 CRB

Judge: Honorable Charles Breyer

DEFENDANTS AND THIRD-PARTY
 PLAINTIFFS UBER TECHNOLOGIES,
 INC., RASIER, LLC, AND RASIER-CA,
 LLC'S THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT

31 This Document Relates to:

32 *Brianna Craig v. Uber Technologies,
 33 Inc., et al.*

34 Case No.: 3:23-cv-05932-CRB

35 **DEFENDANTS' THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT**

36 Defendants and Third-Party Plaintiffs Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA,
 37 LLC (collectively, "Defendants" and "Third-Party Plaintiffs") by and through their attorneys, bring

1 this Third-Party Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 14 and hereby allege the
 2 following against Syd Syed (“Third-Party Defendant”):

3 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

4 1. Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Uber Technologies, Inc. is a California corporation
 5 that is registered with and conducts business within the State of California.

6 2. Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Rasier, LLC is a limited liability company that is
 7 registered with and conducts business within the State of California.

8 3. Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Rasier-CA, LLC is a limited liability company that
 9 is registered with and conducts business within the State of California.

10 4. Based on information and belief, Third-Party Defendant Syd Syed is an individual
 11 residing in Plano, Texas.

12 5. Third-Party Plaintiffs Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC are
 13 Defendants in the above-entitled action wherein Brianna Craig (“Plaintiff”), seeks damages for injuries
 14 allegedly caused by Uber Technologies, Inc., Rasier, LLC, and Rasier-CA, LLC and others, by way of
 15 Plaintiff’s Original Complaint and Jury Demand, filed on November 16, 2023, in the United States
 16 District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:23-cv-05932-
 17 CRB, and Plaintiff’s Short-Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial, filed on April 8, 2024, in the
 18 United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No.
 19 3:23-cv-05932-CRB, which incorporates by reference the allegations made in the Master Long-Form
 20 Complaint filed on February 15, 2024, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of
 21 California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB (collectively, the
 22 “Complaint”). Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate the Complaint herein for reference, and deny any
 23 fault or liability for causing Plaintiff’s alleged injuries or damages.

6. By way of the Complaint, Plaintiff seeks damages arising from an alleged sexual assault that purportedly occurred in Chicago, Illinois.

7. In the event that, as a result of the underlying action, it is determined that Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Third-Party Plaintiffs, and there have been acts or omissions for which Third-Party Plaintiffs are responsible or liable, said acts or omissions were caused by the primary and active tortious or otherwise actionable conduct of Third-Party Defendant.

JURISDICTION

8. This Court has jurisdiction of the underlying action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) because the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and because the underlying action is between citizens of different states.

9. This Court has jurisdiction over this Third-Party Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1337(a) because the Third-Party Complaint shares a common nucleus of operative facts with the underlying action so that the Third-Party Complaint is so related to the underlying action as to form part of the same case or controversy.

DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT

10. Assignment in the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, is appropriate because the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation assigned the *In re: Uber Technologies, Inc., Passenger Sexual Assault Litigation* to this Court for consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1407 and the underlying action was directly filed in the Northern District of California. See Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB, Dkt. 1 (“Transfer Order”), Dkt. 177 (“Stipulated Pretrial Order No. 6: Direct Filing”).

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**(Express/Contractual Indemnity)**

11. Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set
 12 forth herein.

13. Third-Party Defendant entered into multiple written agreements with Third-Party
 14 Plaintiffs. Those agreements outline the respective rights and responsibilities relating to Third-Party
 15 Defendant's use of the Uber App. Of particular relevance here, Third-Party Defendant agreed to
 16 indemnify and defend Third-Party Plaintiffs, including with respect to claims such as those asserted by
 17 Plaintiff in the underlying Complaint against Third-Party Plaintiffs. The circumstances that give rise
 18 to Third-Party Defendant's contractual duty to indemnify and defend are the subject of Plaintiff's
 19 Complaint against Third-Party Plaintiffs.

20. Third-Party Defendant entered into a Platform Access Agreement ("PAA") with Rasier,
 21 LLC on July 20, 2022. This PAA governed Third-Party Defendant's "access to [Third-Party
 22 Plaintiffs'] Platform . . . which facilitates [Third-Party Defendant's] provision of rideshare or peer-to-
 23 peer transportation service . . . to account holders seeking to access certain types of P2P Service . . .
 24 ." **(Exhibit A – Platform Access Agreement, updated Jan. 1, 2022, p. 1).** It further granted Third-
 25 Party Defendant "a non-exclusive, non-transferable, non-sublicensable, non-assignable license, during
 26 the term of this Agreement, to use our Platform" that was "[s]ubject to the terms and conditions of this
 27 Agreement." **(Id. ¶ 2.1).** The PAA required Third-Party Defendant, among other things, to comply
 28 with "all laws . . . that apply to [his] provision of Rides." **(Id. ¶ 2.2).**

29. In connection with the PAA, Third-Party Defendant also entered into an Indemnity
 30 Agreement with Rasier, LLC on July 20, 2022. The Indemnity Agreement provides:

31. To the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, you will indemnify,
 32 defend (at our option) and hold us and our affiliates and each of our and
 33 their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, or shareholders

harmless from and against all claims, liabilities, expenses (including reasonable attorney's fees and related expenses), damages, penalties, fines, social security contributions and taxes ("Losses") asserted by a third party and arising out of or related to your breach or alleged breach of the Agreement or this Indemnity Agreement, your provision of Rides, your access to our Platform or your interaction with any third party.

(Exhibit B—Indemnity Agreement, Updated Jan. 6, 2020, ¶ 1.1).

15. The PAA and the Indemnity Agreement provide that the law that governs the agreements is the law of the state where Third-Party Defendant resided when he entered into the agreements. (Ex. A, ¶ 12.7, Ex. B, ¶ 6). Based on information and belief, Third-Party Defendant resided in Illinois.

16. Plaintiff's Complaint alleges, among other things, conduct entitling Plaintiff to compensatory damages against Third-Party Plaintiffs. Specifically, Plaintiff's Complaint alleges that on or about July 22, 2023, while Third-Party Defendant was providing her a ride using the Uber App, Third-Party Defendant "told the Plaintiff that he was horny, then reached back to rub Plaintiff's thighs. Plaintiff told [Third-Party Defendant] not to touch her. Plaintiff became frightened and stopped responding to [his] questions. As the ride continued, [Third-Party Defendant] exposed his penis and asked Plaintiff if she would touch it. When they arrived at Plaintiff's destination, [Third Party Defendant's] penis remained exposed, and [he] jumped into the backseat with Plaintiff. After Plaintiff screamed, [Third Party Defendant] jumped back into the front seat and drove around the block, finally dropping Plaintiff off at her destination. Plaintiff quickly exited the vehicle." **(Pl.'s Compl. For**

Damages and Demand for Jury Trial, filed on November 16, 2023, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:23-cv-05932-CRB, ¶¶ 65-68; Pl.'s Short-Form Compl., filed on April 8, 2024, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:23-cv-05932, ¶ C.1 ("The Plaintiff was sexually assaulted, harassed, battered, or otherwise attacked by [Third-Party

1 **Defendant] in connection with a ride facilitated on the Uber platform in Cook County on July**
 2 **22, 2023”)).**

3 17. The damages alleged by Plaintiff arise out of or relate to Third-Party Defendant’s
 4 alleged tortious or otherwise actionable conduct, which arises out of or relates to his breach of the PAA
 5 and the Indemnity Agreement with Third-Party Plaintiffs, provision of rides, access to Third-Party
 6 Plaintiffs’ platform, and interaction with a third party.

7 18. Third-Party Defendant’s alleged tortious or otherwise actionable conduct is therefore
 8 covered by the agreements to indemnify Third-Party Plaintiffs and gives rise to Third-Party
 9 Defendant’s contractual duty to indemnify and defend.

10 19. Third-Party Plaintiffs deny liability for the events and occurrences described in
 11 Plaintiff’s Complaint.

12 20. Third-Party Defendant’s alleged tortious or otherwise actionable conduct was the direct
 13 and proximate cause of the damages alleged by Plaintiff.

14 21. As a result, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are found in some manner liable to Plaintiff in this
 15 action under any theory of recovery, Third-Party Plaintiffs allege that their liability would be based
 16 solely upon a derivative form of liability not resulting from their conduct and, therefore, are entitled to
 17 complete indemnity from Third-Party Defendant.

18 22. By reason of the foregoing, Third-Party Plaintiffs are entitled to be fully defended and
 19 indemnified by Third-Party Defendant for any amounts which may in good faith be paid by way of
 20 compromise, settlement, or judgment. Additionally, Third-Party Plaintiffs are entitled to all costs,
 21 expenses, and attorneys’ fees that Third-Party Plaintiffs incurred in the defense of the underlying action
 22 brought by Plaintiff and the prosecution of this Third-Party Complaint.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Implied Indemnity)

23. Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate herein each allegation set forth above. In the alternative to Third-Party Plaintiffs' First Cause of Action, if the Court were to find that Third-Party Plaintiffs are not entitled to express contractual indemnity from Third-Party Defendant, Third-Party Plaintiffs allege that they are entitled to implied indemnity from Third-Party Defendant.

24. Plaintiff's Complaint alleges, among other things, that Third-Party Plaintiffs are vicariously liable for Third-Party Defendant's conduct.

25. Third-Party Plaintiffs deny any relationship giving rise to vicarious liability for Third-Party Defendant's conduct.

26. If Third-Party Plaintiffs are held vicariously liable for Third-Party Defendant's conduct, any such pretort relationship giving rise to vicarious liability also gives rise to implied indemnity under Illinois law.

27. Third-Party Plaintiffs deny liability for the events and occurrences described in Plaintiff's Complaint, and, if Plaintiff has been injured or damaged as alleged in the Complaint, any such injuries or damages were directly and proximately caused and contributed to by the negligence or other tortious conduct of Third-Party Defendant.

28. As a result, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are found in some manner liable to Plaintiff in this action under any theory of recovery, Third-Party Plaintiffs allege that their liability would be based solely upon a derivative form of liability not resulting from their conduct, and, therefore, are entitled to complete indemnity from Third-Party Defendant.

29. Under the foregoing circumstances, Third-Party Plaintiffs are entitled to be fully indemnified by Third-Party Defendant for any amounts which may in good faith be paid by way of compromise, settlement, or judgment. Additionally, Third-Party Plaintiffs are entitled to all costs,

1 expenses, and attorneys' fees that Third-Party Plaintiffs incurred in the defense of the underlying action
 2 brought by Plaintiff and the prosecution of this Third-Party Complaint.

3 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

4 **(Contribution under 740 ILCS 100/2)**

5 30. Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate herein each allegation set forth above.

6 31. If Plaintiff has been injured or damaged as alleged in the Complaint, any such injuries
 7 or damages were directly and proximately caused and contributed to by the negligence or other tortious
 8 conduct of Third-Party Defendant, such that Third-Party Defendant is subject to liability in tort to
 9 Plaintiff.

10 32. At the time of the incident alleged in the Complaint, there was in full force and effect in
 11 the State of Illinois a statute entitled "Joint Tortfeasor Contribution Act." 740 ILCS 100/0.01, *et. seq.*

12 33. The fault, acts, or omissions of Third-Party Plaintiffs, if any, must be compared with
 13 the fault, acts, or omissions of Third-Party Defendant or any other persons or parties.

14 34. Moreover, any award of damages to Plaintiff pursuant to her claims in the Complaint
 15 must be apportioned to, and be paid by, Third-Party Defendant according to the degree of Third-Party
 16 Defendant's fault, acts, or omissions.

17 35. Therefore, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are held liable to Plaintiff on any of the allegations
 18 made in the Complaint, Third-Party Defendant is obligated to reimburse Third-Party Plaintiffs to the
 19 extent of Third-Party Defendant's pro rata share of common liability and will be liable to Third-Party
 20 Plaintiffs for any liabilities so assessed by way of contribution. Accordingly, Third-Party Plaintiffs
 21 assert herein their rights to such contribution pursuant to the Joint Tortfeasor Contribution
 22 Act. Specifically, Third-Party Plaintiffs assert that Third-Party Defendant is obligated to provide an
 23 equitable contribution to any judgment or settlement herein awarded in direct proportion to his pro rata
 24 share of common liability.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Relief)

36. Third-Party Plaintiffs incorporate herein each allegation set forth above.

37. Without in any way acknowledging any liability to Plaintiff, Third-Party Plaintiffs
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
allege that, if Third-Party Plaintiffs are ultimately held liable in this action, such liability will be the
direct and proximate result of the negligent or otherwise actionable conduct of Third-Party
Defendant. As a result, Third-Party Plaintiffs allege that Third-Party Defendant is obligated to fully or
partially indemnify Third-Party Plaintiffs for the sums that they may be compelled to pay as a result of
any damages, judgments, or other awards against Third-Party Plaintiffs in this action, if any, and to pay
Third-Party Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs incurred in defending against the claims of Plaintiff in
this action and prosecuting the claims asserted by way of the Third-Party Complaint.

38. Third-Party Plaintiffs desire a judicial determination of the respective rights and duties
of Third-Party Plaintiffs and Third-Party Defendant with respect to the damages claimed in Plaintiff's
Complaint. In particular, Third-Party Plaintiffs desire a declaration of the respective liabilities of
Third-Party Plaintiffs and Third-Party Defendant for such damages, if any, and a declaration of Third-
Party Defendant's responsibilities to indemnify Third-Party Plaintiffs and to hold them harmless from
any sums that they may be compelled to pay, and for the attorneys' fees and costs Third-Party Plaintiffs
have already incurred and will incur in defending against Plaintiff's claims and prosecuting the claims
asserted by way of the Third-Party Complaint.

39. Such a declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time to enable Third-Party
Plaintiffs to ascertain their rights and duties with respect to the defense of this action and the payment
of any damages, judgment, or other awards that may be recovered against them by
Plaintiff. Furthermore, the claims of Plaintiff and the claims of Third-Party Plaintiffs arise out of the
same transaction and occurrence, and a determination of both in one proceeding is necessary and

1 appropriate to avoid the multiplicity of actions that would result if Third-Party Plaintiffs are required
 2 to defend against the claims of Plaintiff in the Complaint and then bring a subsequent action against
 3 Third-Party Defendant for indemnification and contribution of sums that Third-Party Plaintiffs may be
 4 compelled to pay as a result of any damages, judgments, or other awards recovered by Plaintiff against
 5 Third-Party Plaintiffs, if any.

6 **PRAYER**
 7

8 Wherefore, Third-Party Plaintiffs respectfully pray for the following judgment:

9 1. For judgment against Third-Party Defendant on the First Cause of Action, awarding
 10 Third-Party Plaintiffs total contractual indemnity for any amounts which may in good faith be paid by
 11 Third-Party Plaintiffs to Plaintiff by way of compromise, settlement, or judgment;

12 2. For judgment against Third-Party Defendant on the Second Cause of Action, awarding
 13 Third-Party Plaintiffs complete indemnity for any sum Third-Party Plaintiffs must pay to Plaintiff;

14 3. For judgment against Third-Party Defendant on the Third Cause of Action, in the form
 15 of contribution based on Third-Party Defendant's pro rata share of liability of any sums adjudged
 16 against Third-Party Plaintiffs, if any, in favor of Plaintiff herein;

17 4. For a judicial determination on the Fourth Cause of Action of the responsibilities of
 18 Third-Party Defendant to fully or partially indemnify and hold Third-Party Plaintiffs harmless from
 19 any damages, judgment, or other awards that may be recovered against Third-Party Plaintiffs by
 20 Plaintiff on the Complaint pursuant to principles of indemnity or contribution;

21 5. For any other equitable decree or order required to apportion liability and damages, and
 22 ensure Third-Party Defendant reimburses Third-Party Plaintiffs for any payments they make to Plaintiff
 23 in excess of Third-Party Plaintiffs' proportionate share of fault, if any;

24 6. That Third-Party Plaintiffs be awarded their attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this
 25 action to the extent permitted by law; and

7. For any and such further relief as the Court may determine is just and proper.

DATED: December 18, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

SHOOK HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

By: /s/ Maria Salcedo
MARIA SALCEDO

MARIA SALCEDO (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
msalcedo@shb.com

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
2555 Grand Blvd.
Kansas City, MO 64108
Telephone: (816) 474-6550
Facsimile: (816) 421-5547

MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY

MICHAEL B. SHORTNACY (SBN: 277035)
mshortnacy@shb.com

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (424) 285-8330
Facsimile: (424) 204-9093

PATRICK OOT (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
oot@shb.com

SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.
1800 K St. NW Ste. 1000
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 783-8400
Facsimile: (202) 783-4211

KYLE N. SMITH (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
ksmith@paulweiss.com

JESSICA E. PHILLIPS (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted)
iphillips@paulweiss.com

jphelps@paulweiss.com
**PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON
& GARRISON LLP**
2001 K Street, NW
Washington DC, 20006
Telephone: (202) 223-7300
Facsimile: (202) 223-7420

Attorney for Defendants

1 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
2 RASIER, LLC, and RASIER-CA, LLC
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28